Previous references.

1901.

(Salaries and Allowances)

File No. 24 of 1901-0

Serial Nos. 1-29

Later references.
SA 116 of 1902-03
and
SA 120 of 1902-03

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—29

Part B for

Rules regarding the grant of privilege leave in combination with other leave.

---

1. No. 4163 dated the 30th. July 1901. (Diary No. P&A 197.)

From the ~~Comptroller~~ Chief Engineer

Enquires whether the Govt. of India ruling permitting officers to take privilege leave in combination with other leave will be extende to Mysore.

2. No. I445089/P&A 1003 dated the 3rd. March 1902

To the Chief Engineer, the Comptroller, the other Heads of Departments and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Calls for opinion as to whether the rules refered to in para 4 of the Government of India Resolution No. 224-40 dated the 25 th. January 1901 Home Department may be adopted entirely or with any modifications and if the latter with what modifications.

3. No. 549 dated the 21st. March 1902. (Diary No. P&A 1389.)

From the Agricultural Chemist to the Govt. of Mysore.

States that the adoption of the ~~24~~ rules referred to would be very desireable.

. 666 dated the 21st. March I902. (Diary No. P&A I39I)

From the Superintendent Revenue Survey

States that the rules referred to should be adopted in entirety.

. 65 dated the 21st. March I902 (Diary No. P&A I39I)

From the Palace Comptroller.

States that the rules referred to should be extended to the Mysore.

. 793 dated the 22nd. March I902 (Diary No. P&A I399)

From the Deputy Commissioner Chitaldrug District.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted in their

ety.

No.588 dated the 22nd March I902 (Diary No. P&A I400.)

From the Inspector General of Police

States that the present restriction that privilege leave should

taken in combination with other leave should be done away with

the restriction that privilege leave should not be allowed to accum

ate for more than 3 months.

. 826/J dated the 20th. March I902. (Diary No. P&A I402)

From the Inspector General of Prisons.

Recomends that the rules referred to may be adopted in their

ety.

No. 79 dated the 22nd March I902-03 (Diary No. P&A I403)

From the Superintendent of Inam Settlements

States that the rules referred to should be adopted in their

iety.

No. 30I dated the 20th. March I902 (Diary No. P&A I404.)

From the Inspector of Agricultural Banks in Mysore.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted in their

iety together with the 4 supplementary directions as to the other m

des of reducing transfers.

No. I075 dated the 21st. March I902 (Diary No. P&A I409)

From the Deputy Commissioner Bangalore

States that the rules referred to may be adopted .

No. 6813/I99 dated the 21st. March I902. (Diary No. P&A I4I2.)

From the Registrar of the Chief Court.

States that the Judges are of opinion that the rules referre

ly.

Table of Contents (Continued)

No. 258 dated the 26th. March 1902 (Diary No. P&A 1417.)

From the Inspector General of Registration

States that he has no objection to the adoption of the rules referred to

No. 300 dated the 26th. March 1902. (Diary No. P&A 1419.)

From the Superintendent Government Press

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely and also recommends that the Officers may be allowed to draw their privilege leave allowances out of India as in the case of furlough allowances.

No. 1768 dated the 22nd. March 1902. (Diary No. P&A 1421.)

From the Chief Engineer.

States that he is in favor of the rules in question bein adopted their entirety.

No. 678 dated the 26th. March 1902. (Diary No. P&A 1427.)

From the Deputy Commissioner Kadur.

States that the alteration proposed may be adopted entirely.

No. 259/6 dated the 26th. March 1902 (Diary No. P&A 1428.)

From the State Geologist.

States that the rules in question may be adopted entirely and recomends that atleast for scientific and Educational Departments the rule re the grant of furlough may be altered so that leave may be granted after 4 years of active service.

No. 4775 dated the 27th. March 1902 (Diary No. P&A 1431.)

From the Senior Surgeon.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely.

No. 1449 455 dated the 2nd April 1902 (Diary No. P&A 1449.)

From the Principal Central College.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely.

No. 156 dated the 11th. April 1902 (Diary No. P&A 1502.)

From the Superintendent Government Museum.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely and recommends that the Officers may be allowed to draw their privilege leave allowances out of India as in the case of furlough allowances.

From the Director of Archaeological Reserches

States that the rules in question may be adopted in entirety.

No.927/777 dated the I2th. April I902 (Diary No. P&A I5II.)

From the Deputy Commissioner Shimoga.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely.

No. I005 dated the 22nd. April I902. (Diary No. P&A I56I.)

From the Superintendent Government Printing.

States that the rules referred to may be adopted entirely and recomends the payment of the privilege leave allowances out of India.

No. 2I26 dated the 28th. April I902. (Diary No. P&A I575.)

From the Military Assistant.

States that he is not in favor of the proposed alteration and proposes certain modifications.

No. 3942 dated the 28th. April I902 (Diary No. P&A I579.)

From the Conservator of Forests.

States that the rules may be adopted entirely.

No. 988 dated the I9th. May I902. (Diary No. P&A I74I.)

From the Deputy Commissioner Tumkur.

States that the adoption of the rules referred to would be beneficial.

No. I0577/354 dated the 3Ist. January May I902 (Diary No. P&A I82

From the Inspector General of Education.

States that the rules may be adopted entirely and recomends payment of the privilege leave allowances out of India.

No.C. 2526 dated the I2 th May June I902. (Diary No. P&A I857.)

From the Deputy Commissioner Kolar.

States that the rules may be adopted in Mysore in entirety.

No. I983-2022/P&A I54 dated the 30th. July I902.

To the Comptroller, the other Heads of Departments and the Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Directs the adoption of certain rules re the grant of privilege leave in combination with other leave and requests the Comptroller to submit for the approval of the Government a draft addenda and corrigenda to the Mysore Service Regulations for insertion in the Gazette.

(29)

Note

Govt Bro: No. 14450-89
DLA 1003
d: 3rd March 1902 inviting the opinion of Heads of Departments in re the adoption of Govt of India Resolution permitting officers to take privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave.

Letter No. 1815 d: 15th April 1902 from the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore stating that the rules prescribed in para 4 of Govt of India Resolution above referred to may be adopted in full.

Secretary's order

"Today."

18/4/02

Subn

There are 33 Heads of Depts and 8 by communn of Dts. Replies have been received from 16 Hds of Depts and 4 by communn of Dts. DB May replies from the others 18/4/02 Officers be communicated. Reminders will be sent. DB 18/4/1902

I think the opinions here may be taken as sufficient that none of the other Hds are likely to differ in such a matter.

Draft an order and submit for approval please

H

18/4

Secd.

(1) Draft is submd as per order.

(2) Of the 16 Heads of depts and 4 Dys Comrs who have subd their opinion, all are for adopting the rules in their entirety.

The Inspector Genl of Prisons Bengal Banks adds that the rules may be adopted entirely together with the supplementary directions as to the other modes of reducing transfers contained in paras 6, 7, 8 & 9 of the same Resn.

The I.G.P. of Police adds that the restriction that priv. leave should not be allowed to accumulate for more than three months should also be done away with.

The Supdt. Govt. Garden and Mysore Govt. Museum adds that with refce to clause 9 of paragh the Resolution it would be a further boon if officers were allowed to draw their privilege allce out of India, as in the case of furlough allces.

The State Geologist urges that for scientific and educational officers, the rule "Furlough may not be taken until after 8 years' active service" should be altered so that furlough may be taken after 4 years active service. He had mooted this subject once before. An office note was put up and sent to the Secretary on 5/9/01.

DM 21/4/02 A draft order is submitted as directed. DM

I think this may be passed & sent out waiting for the remg replies - 21/4/1902

23/4

The 3rd Councillor

23/4/02 Chief Secretary

Chief Secretary to Government

Amend as proposed
to avoid misconstruction
of the introduction
of the rules in Gaol-
Stores, more generally
effect to my intention.

25/4

Let me see the
Red draft as
directed Henry
25/4

Subd
Gen
6/4/02

Replies since received ~~are to submitted~~ from the Supt
of Printing in Supreme and
Military Acct are also
submitted. They suggest that
para IX of the rules be so
modified as to allow of
privilege leave allces being
paid out of India. The
Military Acct is not in
favour of adopting the rules
in their entirety and suggests
the following modifications:—
(1) the period of interval
referred to in clause (ii)
of para 4 of the Govt of
India Resolution be 10
months.

and.

and
(2) that the proposed rule
vi be cancelled.

Sub[2]
D[TB]
29/4/02

Draft is again submitted. The alterations proposed by Col. Jones are marked in Pencil.

29/4

The 3rd Councillor.

30/4/02 (127) Any Grant of Indian Rules cannot be finally brought into effect until much consideration.

No persons may fish with Cast Nets without obtaining the written permission of 16 months or Contravene the Rules (Clause II para 4)

Jamadar Nathmal
Army Modifications
1/5/1902

Received and subd.

Please see the councillor's notes above under date 25/4/02. He says "Subject to Dewan if necessary". Orders are solicited as to whether the draft order may issue without the modifications suggested by the Military Asst or the papers subd to the Dewan.

DWB
8/5/02

Submitted to Dewan thro' the Secty for orders. DB

9/5/1902

7/05

Serial 1

PHR - 197

1522
2-8-01

1901 - 1 179

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT

From (1)

THE CHIEF ENGINEER
OF MYSORE.

To

The Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Jude & Revenue Dept

Dated Bangalore, 30/7/01.

Despatched

No. 4163

Enclosure No.

Subject

Enquiring whether the Govt: of India's ruling permitting officers to take privilege leave in combination with any other leave will be extended to Mysore.

Submitted for orders with a copy of the Resolution of the Govt of India referred to within.

WB
6/1/02

The delay in the submission of this paper is very much regretted. The clerks concerned shown cause why their explanations for submission to Govt. should not be called for. In the meantime, this may be disposed of at once.

WB
7/1/1902

Subd to Secy for orders with a copy of the Govt of India Resolution referred to.

WB
7/1/1902

The opinions of the Comptr and the heads of Depts (especially European Offrs) may be invited as to whether the rules in this Resolution may be adopted entirely or with modifications.

Submitted to the Comptroller.

2/1/1902

Yes. Obtain all opinions.

3/1/02

G P & A
U.O.N Recd 3-8-01

Mysore P.W.Department

Central office,

No. 4163 Dated Bangalore 30th July 190

From,

The Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To,

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to para IV of the Resolution of Go of India No.224-40 dated the 25th January 190b, as regards -cession therein granted to officers, permitting them to take p -vilege leave to the extent due, in combination with any other I have the honor to -enquire if there is any prospect of the a ruling being extended to Mysore.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

Your most obedient serv

W. D. Wedderburn

Offg. Chief Engineer of Mysore.

A.P.J.

RA 24 of 1901-02
Serial 2.

(2)

Read:-

Letter No. 4163 d. 30th July 1901 from the Chief Engineer of Mysore

(Print in enclosure)

also

Resolution No. 224-40 d 25th January 1901 of the Government of India, Home Department.

Order thereon ~~14000-4-2~~ ~~14657-6~~ 14450-8 d 3-2 W4a 1003

Communicated to the Comptroller to the Govt of Mysore and other Head of Depart-ments, for favour of opinion as to whether the rules referred to in para 4 of the Resolution read above may be adopted entirely

This Concerns the intro-duction of a new im-portant rule. Should it be submitted to the Dewan before issue?

28/1/1902

To the 3rd Councillor. 29/1/02 (76)

I am all against introducing such a rule. I have even promised it to Mr Chatterton & advised him to recommend the non-introduction of the rule. This order may therefore be issued from our side.

29/1/1902

Submitted to the Dewan

29/1/1902

R2 31-1-02
3198

I am at present in favour of the rule - I have even promised it to Mr Chatterton & advised him to recommend the non-introduction of the rule. This order may therefore be issued from our side.

P.S. 02

entirely or with
~~modifications~~ modifications
and if the latter what
the modifications should
be.

2d cross side) Dft 22.1.1902

Secretary

DWB WM DB 22/1/02 22/1

To The Chief Engineer of Mysore
The Comptroller in Mysore
The other Heads of Depts
" Dy Commrs of Dists.

READ—

Letter No. 4163, dated 30th July 1901, from the Chief Engineer of Mysore.

With reference to para IV of the Resolution of Government of India No. 224-40, dated the 25th January 1901, as regards the concession therein granted to Officers, permitting them to take privilege leave to the extent due, in combination with any other leave, I have the honor to enquire if there is any prospect of the above ruling being extended to Mysore.

ALSO—

Resolution No. 224-40, dated 25th January 1901, of the Government of India, Home Department.

MEASURES TO REDUCE THE FREQUENCY OF TRANSFERS OF CIVIL OFFICERS.

READ—

Despatch to the Secretary of State, No. 64, dated the 25th October 1900.

Despatch from the Secretary of State, No. 8, dated the 4th January 1901.

RESOLUTION.—The Governor General in Council has had for some time under his consideration the question of the frequent transfer from one charge to another of officers employed in the different branches of the Civil Administration. The extent to which the members of the different Civil Departments have been transferred in recent years is universally recognised to be detrimental to the proper discharge of public duties in every branch of the administration. The evil effects of frequent transfers are, however, especially manifest in the case of the Chief Civil Officer of the district, who is the direct representative of the Government in the eye of the community. Continuity in the charge is most essential to the proper administration of a district in India, since a district officer's influence must in the main depend upon the extent to which he is known and trusted by the people.

2. It is an inherent condition of the different services in India that transfers of officers should be more frequent than is desirable in the interests of the administration. The number of European officers in the administrative services is limited to strict working requirements. Every time that leave is given, on every occasion on which a promotion is made, and in every instance in which an officer retires, a vacancy is created. It is impossible, therefore, to prevent transfers; the utmost that can be done is to reduce their frequency as much as possible.

The question of such a reduction does not come before the Government of India for the first time. It was the subject of correspondence between the Secretary of State and Lord Ripon's Government in 1882. It had been asserted that the change in the Furlough Rules of 1868, whereby officers absent on leave retain a lien on their appointments, had resulted in a largely increased number of acting appointments, and in frequent transfers or changes in the *personnel* of the administrative staff, to the detriment of the public interest. The Government of India made an inquiry from the Local Governments and Administrations as to whether the change in the rules had caused practical inconvenience. The replies to this inquiry disclosed a very general opinion among the local authorities that the supposed effect of the rule in question had been much exaggerated and misunderstood, and that the statistics from the different Provinces did not, when carefully analysed, justify the withdrawal of the rule whereby an officer on furlough retains a lien on his substantive appointment or on a substantive appointment of like character and not less pay. Lord Ripon's Government were nevertheless of opinion that changes among the administrative, and especially among the District staff, had been too frequent in some Provinces. This result appeared to be in some measure due to the Local Governments being too ready to differ to the personal wishes and inclinations of officers returning from leave. The Government of India therefore directed that unless some special circumstances rendered it desirable to replace an acting officer by a returning incumbent, an officer in the regular line of district administration should, on return from furlough, be posted to such actually vacant office as might be suited to his rank and pay, and that in determining where he should be posted, the only consideration which should be allowed to weigh should be the question how his services could best be utilized with the least possible disturbance of existing arrangements. The Local Governments were also directed to pay more attention to regulating the time of an officer's return to duty with reference to the convenience of the public service. Directions upon these two points were issued in the Home Department Resolution No. 33-Public—1343-1354 of 7th September 1882.

3. The Governor General in Council agrees with the decision come to by Lord Ripon's Government regarding the concession made in 1868, but is of opinion that the number of transfers has been greatly increased by the custom which has grown more frequent as communication with Europe has become more rapid, of taking accumulated privilege leave, followed, after a

short interval of duty, by furlough, a practice not contemplated at the time when the Furlough Rules, which were designed to enable officers to visit England at reasonable intervals, and not oftener, were framed. Under Article 891 of the Civil Service Regulations, an officer applying for privilege leave is required to record a declaration that he has no intention of taking leave of any other kind for three months after his return to duty. An officer under the European leave rules, who has accumulated three months' privilege leave, can enjoy it during one hot season, revert to duty during the cold weather, and then apply for furlough in the ensuing hot season. So long as the aggregate number of furloughs is not exceeded, it is difficult to refuse his request except on special grounds, since he has rendered more than the continuous service required under Article 340 of the Civil Service Regulations before furlough can be granted to him. His two periods of leave, which may have commenced within a period of just over six months, involve three changes, a result which is most prejudicial to the proper conduct of any office in the Civil Administration.

4. The Government of India have been in communication with the Local Governments and Administrations and the Secretary of State as to the best means of checking the evils which result from frequent absences due to the enjoyment of leave under these conditions. They are of opinion that the right to accumulate privilege leave as such should be retained, but that, in the interests of the administration, it is essential to put an end to the practice of taking accumulated privilege leave and furlough separately without the interposition of a sufficient interval. The Government of India have therefore determined, with the sanction of the Secretary of State, to require that an officer shall, after return from accumulated privilege leave of over six weeks in duration, render eighteen months' active service between the return to duty and departure on ordinary furlough. As a compensation for the restriction on the present conditions under which leave can be taken, the Governor General in Council has recommended to the Secretary of State, who has approved the proposal, that an officer who has earned privilege leave shall be permitted to take it up to the maximum of three months in combination with any other leave. To this concession will be attached a limitation of the total period of the combined leave, so that it shall not exceed two years when furlough is taken, or six months when special leave is taken, and, on the other hand, that it shall not be less than six months. His Excellency in Council is therefore pleased to announce that, with effect from January 21st, 1901, the date of the receipt of the Secretary of State's sanction, the rules in Chapter XIII (Leave Rules for European services) and XIV (Leave Rules for Indian services) will be modified in respect of all the officers to whom these rules respectively apply, in the following particulars :—

(i) privilege leave to the amount due will be allowed to be taken as privilege leave either (*a*) by itself or (*b*) combined as privilege leave with furlough, leave on medical certificate, special leave, leave on urgent private affairs, and extraordinary leave, the maximum period in each case, for which full salary can be drawn, being three months ;

(ii) when privilege leave of over six weeks in duration is taken by itself, an interval of 18 months must elapse between the return to duty and departure on furlough other than furlough on medical certificate ;

(iii) the restriction defined in (ii) will not apply to leave on medical certificate, furlough on medical certificate, special leave on urgent private affairs, leave on private affairs, or extraordinary leave ;

(iv) when privilege leave is combined with furlough, the amount of the combined leave must not exceed two years ;

(v) when privilege leave is combined with special leave on urgent private affairs or with leave on private affairs, the amount of the combined leave must not exceed six months ;

(vi) when privilege leave is combined with any other kind of leave, combined leave must be for not less than six months ;

(vii) the periods mentioned in (iv), (v) and (vi) will count from the beginning of the privilege leave to the end (under the ordinary rules) of the leave with which it is combined ;

(viii) officers to whom privilege leave under the ordinary rules and conditions is inadmissible under Article 306, Civil Service Regulations, will be allowed to combine vacations with long leave (either at the beginning or end thereof) on the same conditions as those on which other officers are allowed to combine privilege leave.

(ix) The rule that privilege leave allowances are not payable out of India will apply in the case of privilege leave combined with other leave.

5. The effect of these changes will be, the Governor General in Council anticipates, to cause a material reduction in the number of transfers, and His Excellency in Council trusts that the manner in which it has been determined to give compensation for the imposition of the restriction on the taking of furlough after the enjoyment of accumulated privilege leave will be appreciated by the members of the different services. The concessions now sanctioned in

regard to privilege leave are of an important character, and the Governor General in Council notifies, that, should it at any time be found undesirable to continue them, they may be withdrawn without claims to compensation being recognized. It is unlikely that the introduction of the new rules will affect many members of the service injuriously, but, should a Local Government or Administration consider that the restriction that furlough may not be taken until the expiry of eighteen months after return to duty will operate with hardship or with inconvenience to the public interests in respect of any particular officer who takes accumulated privilege leave by itself before October 1st, 1901, the Governor General in Council will be prepared to consider a proposal to relax the restriction in the individual case.

6. While the Government of India consider that these changes in the Leave Rules must operate to reduce the number of transfers which at present take place, they are convinced, as Lord Ripon's Government were in 1882, that many unnecessary transfers are caused by the manner in which the rules regarding the grant of leave are worked. The Governor General in Council therefore desires to supplement the announcement regarding the changes in the Leave Rules by directions to the Local Governments and Administrations as to other methods of reducing transfers. The Governor General in Council has already referred to the instructions in the Resolution of 7th September 1882, which have not, His Excellency in Council regrets, been always fully regarded. In accordance with the decision come to in 1882, it was provided in Article 220 (*b*) of the Civil Service Regulations that the Local Government may refuse to grant the full amount of leave applied for in any case, and should, by the exercise of this power, so regulate the date of an officer's return from leave as to cause as little change as possible in administrative arrangements. In the opinion of the Government of India, unnecessary transfers can be reduced if Local Governments and Administrations generally will show less hesitation whenever they anticipate difficulty in providing an officer with a suitable appointment on return from the full amount of leave for which he asks, in requiring him to return after the interval which may best suit the interests of the public service. The provision of the rules that an officer returning from ordinary furlough or special leave retains a lien, not on the actual appointment held by him before departure on leave, but on an appointment of like character and not less pay, and that he retains no lien on an acting appointment (Article 240, Civil Service Regulations), should also be steadily kept in view.

7. The Governor General in Council also considers it essential that Local Governments and Administrations should follow more fully in practice the principle that transfers must not be made to meet the private wishes of officers. The removal of an officer from one charge to another at his own request should only be allowed when it can be made with the certainty that the public interest will not suffer therefrom.

8. Another cause in the opinion of the Government of India of increase in the number of transfers is the rigid and unjustifiable adherence to the principle that every vacancy, for however short a time, must be given to the next senior officer unless he is unfit for promotion. The application of this rule multiplies transfers in several ways. An officer is often brought from a long distance to the exclusion of one on the spot who may be only his junior by a short period of service. Again, the supposed claim of a senior officer holding a less eligible appointment of similar duties to be transferred to a more eligible charge in place of a junior is constantly recognised, with the result that two transfers are made when one only is necessary. A third way in which this practice increases transfers is by the appointment (which is not in accordance with the principle laid down in Article 240, Civil Service Regulations) of an officer to act in a higher appointment on return from leave in place of a competent junior appointed to officiate in that appointment when he himself was absent on leave. The Government of India cannot approve of transfers made in circumstances such as these, and trust that no countenance will be given to them in future.

9. Article 119, Civil Service Regulations, provides that, as a general rule, the duties of an officer absent on privilege leave should be discharged by another officer in the same station, and that the transfer of an officer from another station to act in consequence of the absence of an officer on privilege leave will only be allowed in exceptional cases. This article is constantly disregarded in practice, owing to the difficulty of making adequate local arrangements when the amount of privilege leave taken amounts to three months or nearly three months. As a measure supplementary to the above instructions, the Government of India will amend this article so as to make the requirement as regards the appointment of an officer, who is serving in the same station, to officiate in a vacancy caused by the departure of an officer on privilege leave of not more than six weeks stricter than it is at present. The Governor General in Council is of opinion that, except in the case of offices for which special qualifications are required, such as those of Heads of Departments, the Secretariat and the higher Judicial offices and certain Medical appointments, it should rarely be necessary to appoint an officer to officiate, during a privilege leave vacancy of not more than six weeks, who is not already serving in the same district either at head-quarters or at some sub-divisional outpost. In the case of appointments held by members of the Indian Civil Service or of Commission, if a member of that Service or Commission is not available, an officer of the Provincial Service on the spot can hold charge for

this short period, in accordance with the rules published under Home Department Notification No. 2159, dated the 2nd November 1892. To ensure compliance with this regulation, His Excellency in Council directs that quarterly lists showing and explaining all cases in which the above principle has not been followed in respect of absences on privilege leave of not more than six weeks shall in future, be submitted to the appropriate Department of the Government of India. The Government of India further direct that, when a vacancy is caused by the absence of an officer on privilege leave of more than six weeks, his place should also, if possible, as the rule already provides, be filled by an officer serving in the same district. The Government of India admit that this is not always feasible but it should be done oftener than has hitherto been the case. By these means the Governor General in Council hopes that transfers on account of vacancies due to privilege leave will be substantially reduced, and that it will become a general practice for members of the Indian Civil Service and the better paid officers under the European Service Leave Rules to accumulate their privilege leave and combine it with furlough. By this process the vacancies in important offices should gradually cease to be for less than six months.

ORDER.—Ordered that a copy of this Resolution be communicated to the Departments of the Government of India; and to Local Governments and Administrations for information and guidance.

Ordered also that the Resolution be published in the Supplement to the *Gazette of India.*

No. 14450-89—P. & A. 1003, DATED BANGALORE, 3RD MARCH 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—Communicated to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore and other Heads of Departments, for favor of opinion as to whether the rules referred to in para 4 of the Resolution read above may be adopted entirely or with any modifications and if the latter with what modifications.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Chief Engineer of Mysore.
The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
Other Heads of Departments.

Exd.—C.V.

SNA 24 A/1901-02 P&A-1389
(General Serial No. 30.)
Serial 3 189 (7) 12304.

From
A. Lehmann Esquire, B.S.A.,Ph.D.,

Agricultural Chemist to the
Government of Mysore.

To
H.V.Nanjundaiya Esq., M.A., M.L.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Gen: & Rve: Departments,
Bangalore.

Dated March 21st 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 549 } 189 .
No.

Enclosures Number.

In reply to Government Proceed ings No. 14450-89/ P.& A. 1003 dated 3rd March 1902, calling for opinion re the adoption of Government of India Resolution permitting officers to take privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, has the honor to state that in his opinion the adoption of these rules would be very desirable.

A. Lehmann

Agricultural Chemist.

P & A.
22-3-02

Central Jail Press, Bangalore —19-9-99.— 1

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial 4

P&A 1390

(9)

No. 666
Enc:

OFFICE OF THE SUPERINTENDENT
OF REVENUE SURVEY.

Bangalore dated 21st *March* 1902

From

Colonel J. P. Grant
The Superintendent, Revenue Survey.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore
Gen. & Rev. Depts.

Sir,

In reply to Government Order No. 14450-89 / P.W.A. 1003 dated 3rd March 1902, I have the honor to state my opinion that the Rules referred to in Para 4 of the Resolutions of the Government of India should be adopted in their entirety.

I have the honor to be
Sir
your most obedt. servant
J. Grant
Supt Mysore Rev. Survey

P+A-1392

12307.

1902

From

THE SUPERINTENDENT,

MYSORE REV. SURVEY.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated
Despatched } 21st March
Received

General No. 666
No.

Enclosure No.

In reply to from his 4450-89 of
P+A 1003 Dt

SUBJECT

Stating that the Rules referred to in Para 4 of the Resolution of the Govt of India should be adopted.

References
(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office)

P & A
22-3-02

S&A 240/1901-02 Serial 5 P&A - 1341

12310 · 1902

(3)

From

V.N.Narasimmiyengar, Esq.
~~THE~~ *PALACE CONTROLLER,*
Mysore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya, Esq.,
Secretary to the
Govt. of Mysore,
Genl.&Rev.Depts.
Bangalore.

Dated 20th March 1902.

Despatched 21

Received

General No. 65
No

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In acknowledging Government Order No.14450-89/P&A.1003 dated 3rd March 1902, I have the honor to state that in my opinion the Government of India Rules therein circulated for the grant of Privilege and other kinds of leave should be extended to the Mysore Government service, in order that the conditions of the local service should be as much & as far assimilated as possible to those of the British service.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

V N Narasimmiyengar

Palace Controller.

P&A 22.3.02

S&A 26 of 1901-02
Serial 6

PWA-1399

OFFICE OF THE
12388.
1902

FROM

M Narainrao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To

K. V. Ranganadayya Esquire
M.A., B.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore General and
Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Dated 22d. March 1902

Despatched

Received

General No. 773
No.

Enclosure No. -

Forwarding opinion re the adoption of the Government of India Resolution permitting officers to take privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave fee

~~S.R. 24 of 1901-02~~
~~Serial 6~~

Office of the Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldrug District
Dated Chitaldrug 22d March 1902

(11)

From
M. Narainrao Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

To
K. V. Ranganadayya Esquire M.A., B.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments,
Bangalore

Sir,

In reply to Government Proceedings No 11/150-84 P&A 1003 dated the 3d instant, calling for opinion, in re the adoption of the Government of India Resolution permitting Officers to take privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, I have the honor to state that in my opinion, the rules sanctioned by Government of India in para 11 of the said Resolution may be adopted in this Province in their entirety.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
M. Narainrao
Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District

Done

S&A 24 of 1901-02

OFFICE OF THE

INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

Camp Banjaganha

No. 588 Dated 2 19

Enc. (12)

From

B. K. Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.
Offg. INSPECTOR GENERAL OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr. Jr. A. M. L.
SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
in the GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPARTMENTS.

Sir,

In reply to Govt.' Order No-1414 50 - P.S.A 1003 of the 3rd March 1902 calling for opinion about privilege leave being taken in continuation of any other leave, I beg to state that it is very fair to do away with the present restriction that privilege leave should not be taken in continuation of any other leave. - I further beg to state that the restriction that privilege leave should not be allowed to accumulate for more than 3 months should also be done away with.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

Offg: Inspector General of Police.

RA - 1400

12389

1901/1902

FROM

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL
OF POLICE IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GENERAL AND REVENUE DEPTS.

Dated 22nd

Despatched March 1902

Received

General No. 588
No.

Enclosure No.

Submitting opinion in regard to privilege leave in continuation of any other leave. —

P. A
25.3.02

MGCPB—5-3-01-1000

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial 8

P&A 1402

From

Lt.-Colonel Percy.N.Benson M.B., I.M.S.,

Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore,

Bangalore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esqr: M.A, M.L.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments,

Bangalore.

No. 326/J

Dated 20th March 1902.
22nd

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 14450-89 P&A.1003 da 3rd March 1902, calling for opinion in re the adoption of Government of India Resolution permitting Officers to take pr-vilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, I have the hnor to recommend that Rules referred to in para 4 of the Resolution of Government of India No.224-40 dated 25th January 1901, may be adopted in its entirety.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Lt.-Colonel, I.M.S.,

Inspector General of Prisons.

T.C.G.

P+A-1

OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF PRISONS
12393.
1902.

(4)

From

Lt. Col. Percy A. Benson D.S.O
Inspr Genl of Prisons in
Bangalore

To

N. V. Ranjundayya Esqr M.A.
Secy to Government
Genl & Revl Depts
Bangalore

Dated 20th March 1902.

Despatched 22nd March 1902.

Received

General No. 326
No. 97

Enclosure No.

With reference to Governme
Profs. No. 1445O-89 / P+A 1003 dated 3rd
March 1902, recommends tha
Rules referred to in para 4 -
the Resolution of Govt of Ind
No. 224-40 dy. 25th Jany. 1901,
be adopted in its entirety

P+A
25.3.02

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial No 92394 1901-1902
1558
PHA-1403

FROM

THE SUPERINTENDENT OF
INAM SETTLEMENTS IN MYSORE,
BANGALORE.

(11)

To

THE SECY. TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,
GEN. & REV. DEPTS.

Dated 22nd
Despatched 22
Received
} March 1902

No. 79 of 1901-1902

Enclosure No. Nil

In reply to Government order No. 14450-89 dated 23rd instant, P.H.A. 1003 has the honor to state his opinion that the Rules referred to in para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India should be adopted in the interim.

Supdt of Inam Settlements

P.H.A
25.3.02

S&A 24 of 1907-02
Serial 9/10

P&A 1402

No 301.

Office of the Inspector of Agricultural Banks in Mysore.
Dated, Bangalore 20th March 1902

From,
B. Ramaswami Aiyangar,
Inspector of Agricultural Banks in Mysore.

To
M. V. Narsimhaiyya Esquire M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments
Bangalore

Sir,

With reference to the order of Government No. 14450-89 P.&A. 1003 dated the 3rd Instant, I have the honor to state that the rules referred to in para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India No. 224-40 dated the 25th January 1902 may be adopted entirely together with the supplementary directions as to the other modes of reducing transfers contained in paras 6, 7, 8 and 9 of the same Resolution.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
V. Ramaswami Aiyangar
Inspector of Agricultural Banks in Mysore.

Compared HK

OFFICE OF THE
1239s-
20 MAR 2
1902.
MYSORE.

P&I

Gen. Sec.

From B. Ramaswamy Iyengar
Inspector of Agricultural &
ms.

(5)

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.
The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Dept.

Dated 20th March 1902
Despatched 21st
Received
General No. 301.
No.
Enclosure No.

In reply to ~~the order of Government~~ No. 14
dated 3rd March 1902. P&A.

SUBJECT.

Stating that the rules refer
to in para 4 of the Resolution of the Governme
of India No. 224-4 dated the 25th January
may be adopted entirely together with the
supplementary directions as to the other mod
of reducing transfers contained in paras 6, 7, 8
and 9 of the same Resolution.

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

J. R
25.1.02

SRA 2c
Bungalow District
Serial # 11
to Commissioner's Office
Bangalore 21st March 1902

(8)

To
The Secretary Rao Esqr
to the Commissioner
Bangalore District

Sir,

With reference to Government
Order No 14450-61 of the 3rd instant,
PD A 1902
in which opinion as to the taking of provisions
along with some other items, I have the
honour to state that, the undersigned needs many
of the articles

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
[illegible]
Asst Commissioner
Bangalore

12403. P+A. 140

1901

From The Dy Commissioner Bangalore District

To The Secy to the Govt of Mysore Revenue and Genl Depts

Dated 21st

Despatched 22nd March 1902

Received

General No.

No. [illegible]

Enclosure No.

Govt Pro: No. A 2 11450-89 D & A 1703 of the 7 March 1902.

States that the modified regarding the taking of privilege leave along with any other leave may be abolished.

P. A. 25.3.02

13/19 P&A 1412 S&A 24 of 1901-02 184
Serial (12)

Bangalore 21st March 1902

From,

V. A. Rajaratna mudaliar Esqr.
Registrar of the Chief Court of
Mysore

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esqr: M. A., M. L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Pub: and Rev: Departments

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of Government No. 14450-89 / S + A 1003 dated the 3rd Instant calling for opinion in re the adoption of Government of India Resolution permitting officers to take privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, I am directed to state that the Judges are of opinion that the rules contained in para 4 of the said Resolution may be adopted entirely.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient Servant,

V. Rajaratnamudaliar
Registrar

P&A 1417

No. 958.

S&A Office of the Inspector General of
Serial 13 of Registration in Mysore,
Dated Bangalore, 26th March 1902.

(16)

From

P. N. Krishnaiya Esquire,
Inspector General of Registration

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government
of Mysore, General and
Revenue Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 14450-89 P&A 1003 dated 3rd March 1902, I have the honor to state that I see no objection to the adoption of the rule permitting Officers to take privilege leave in combination with any other leave.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant
P. Krishnaiya
Inspector General
of Registration

VKh

OFFICE OF THE
12448.
1901-2

FROM P. N. Ponnaiya Esqr
Inspector General of Regis

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq M.A. M
Secretary to the Governm
of Mysore, Genl & Rev: De

Dated 26th
Despatched
Received March 19
General No. 958.
No.

Enclosure No.

Stating that the
Inspector General sees no
objection to the adoption
the rule permitting Offic
to take privilege leave i
combination with any
other leave.

Pd A
27-3-02

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

P&A 1419

No 300 S&A 24 of 1902 Lal Bagh, 26th March 1902
Serial 14

From J. Cameron, Esq. (15)
Superintendent,
Government Gardens

To
H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq. B.A. M.L.,
Secretary to the Government of
Mysore, General and Revenue Department

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Government Proceedings No 1450-89 P&A 1003 dated 3rd March 1902, calling for opinion as to whether the leave rules adopted by the Government of India may be adopted entirely or with any modification by the State of Mysore, I have the honor to make the following observation.

2 I am of opinion that the rules may be adopted in their entirety. But with reference to clause (IX) of para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India, it would be a further boon if officers were allowed to draw their privilege leave allowances out of India, as in the case of furlough allowances.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

J. Cameron
Superintendent

OFFICE
12452
EXPD

P&A- 14

1901-02

FROM J. Cameron, Esqr
Superinten
Government Gr

To A. V. Narjundayya, E
M a, M
Secretary to the Govern
of Mysore, Genl & Rev. Dep

Dated 26th March 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 366

No.

Enclosure No.

Expressing opinion about
the leave rules adopted
the Govt. of India.

5m
A.S. 1000

P & A
27-3-02

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

P&A 1421

S&A 25 of 1901-02
Serial 16

21

No. 1768

MYSORE P. W. DEPARTMENT,

CENTRAL OFFICE,

Bangalore, 22nd March 1902. 189

(10)

From

Colonel D.McNeil Campbell,

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esquire, M.A.,M.L.,

Secretary to the Government of Mysore

General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

I have the honour to acknowledge the receipt of Govt: Pros: No.14450-89/P&a 1003 of the 3rd instant, communicating copy of resolution No.224-40 dated 25th January 1901, of the Government of India, for opinion as to whether the rules laid down in para 4 of that Resolution as regards the grant of privilege leave to an officer to the extent to which he is entitled, in combination with any other leave, may be adopted entirely or with any modifications. In reply I am to inform you that I am in favour of their being adopted in their entirety.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. McN. Campbell Colonel

Chief Engineer of Mysore.

a.p.l.

OFFICE OF THE
1245-4.
27 MAR 2
JEW MYSORE PORT

1902

MYSORE P. W. DEP.

From The Chief Engr
& Sy

To The Secretary to G
Genl & Rev: D.

Dated Bangalore, 22-3-1902

Despatched

No. 1768

Enclosure No

SUBJECT.

Forwarding Chief Engr's
in re the introduction
State, & Govt: of India
in re grant of
in combination with
leave to appea

58 A 24 of 1901-02
Serial No 12528.

P&A-14272

1901-1902

FROM
The Dy Commissioner
of Madura dist

To
The Secretary to the Govt
of Fort St George
General & Rev Departments

Dated 26th
Despatched 27th } March 1902.
Received

General No. 678
No.

Enclosure No.

Giving opinion re the
adaption of Govt of India
Resolution permitting
officers to take privilege
leave to the extent due in
combination with any other
leave.

D.K
29-3-02

P&A 1427

Deputy ~~Commissioners~~ Office,
Kadur District
Chikmagalur 26th March 1902.

(14)

H. H. Sparkes Esquire,
Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District.

S. V. Narayindayya Esquire, M.A., M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

Adverting to Government order No. 4450-87
P&A 1003
3rd March 1902 calling for opinion re the
tion of Government of India Resolution re.
ng Officers to take privilege leave to the
Sea in combination with any other leave,
I the honor to state that I am of opinion
the alteration may be adopted in entirety.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

B Ramaswamiah

For Deputy Commissioner
Kadur District

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial No. 17

24

P&A 1428

MYSORE GEOLOGICAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 259 G.

DATED BANGALORE, 26th March 1902. ~~190~~.

From

W. F. SMEETH, ESQ., M.A., A.R.S.M., &c.,
State Geologist in Mysore.

To

M. V. Manjundayya, Esq., M.A., M.L.,
Secretary to Government,
General and Revenue Departments.

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No. 14450-89/ P & A 1003 dated the 3rd of March 1902, calling for opinions as to whether the recent Government of India Rules which permit Privilege Leave to be combined with other leave may be also adopted by the Government of Mysore, I have the honour to state that in my opinion the said Rules are very sound and will not only prove acceptable to officers themselves but will facilitate the carrying on of Departmental work and reduce considerably the too frequent dislocations of work resulting from interchange of duties amongst responsible officers.

2. I am of opinion that the Rules in para 4 of the Government of India Resolution on the subject may with advantage be adopted in their entirety by the Government of Mysore.

3. There is another important point in connection with

with leave rules which perhaps I may be permitted to bring to notice of Government here.

It is a modification for which I agitated before joining the Mysore Service and since. I refer to the Rule that Furlough may not be taken until after 8 years active service have been rendered (Vide Mysore Service Regulation , Chapter XIII, VII). This same provision occurs in the Special Rules granted to myself and to Mr. Wetherell and subsequently made applicable to Educational Officers - (Vide Government Proceedings No. 7633-77 M. 870, dated 3rd. January 1900).

What the origin of this Rule may have been I do not know, but I am quite certain that it is most harmful to the interests of scientific officers and of their work.

On the one hand, the absence of an officer in Mysore for 8 years, cut off as he is from all contact with progressive scientific work and workers, must result in his losing all touch with modern developments and falling into a state of comparative backwardness.

On the other hand, it would be most disadvantageous for a scientific Department that the head of the Department or an officer in charge of any important branch should absent himself from his work for a period of two years on Fourlough.

I strongly urge therefore in the interests of Government that, at least for scientific and probably for Educational officers, the rule referred to should be altered so that furlough may be taken after four years active service have been rendered, instead of 8 years.

The advantages to be gained are so obvious that I feel it unnecessary to dwell further upon them and I trust that

my

my suggestions will receive early and favourable consideration at the hands of Government.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

State Geologist in Mysore.

P&A 1431 S&A 24 of 1901-02 Serial 18 (17) 21

From

Lt. Col. Percy H. Benson M.B, I.M.S,
Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner
with the Government of Mysore, Bangalore.

To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A, M.L,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments, Bangalore

No 4775
Dated 27th March 1902

Sir,

With reference to Proceedings of Government and Order thereon No.14450-89/P & A 1003 dated 3-3-02 anent privilege leave to officers in combination with other leave, I have the honor to state that the rules referred to in para 4 of the Government of India Resolution No.224-40 dated 25-1-01 may be adopted in their entirety.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Lt.Col.I.M.S.
Senior Surgeon and Sanitary Commissioner
Mysore Government

P&A -

1/25 ~~190~~

From



To

H. V. Nanjundayya Esq
M.A, M.L
Secy to Govt
Genl Rev: Depts.
Bangalor

Dated

Despatched

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Offering opinion re the adop of Govt of India Resolution permitting officers to take privi leave in Combination with ~~an~~ other leave.

Pl A
31-3-02

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial 19

28

P&A 1449

Central College, Bangalore.

2nd April 1902.

From

J.Cook Esquire M.A.,

Principal, Central College, Bangalore.

(16)

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esquire M.A., M.L.,

Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,

Genl. & Rev. Departments.

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No.14450-89/P&A 1003 dated 3rd March 1902 requesting favour of opinion as to whether the rules of the Government of India in para 4 of their Resolution No.224-40 dated 25th January 1901 should be adopted in this State, I have the honor to reply that in my opinion the assimilation of the Leave Rules in the Mysore Government Service to those of the Government of India in this respect cannot but be for the good of the Mysore Public Service.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

J Cook

Principal.

Central College

& Director of Meteorology in Mysore

D.N.M.

S&A 24 of 1901-02 No. 1502 29

R & A 12.4.02

13149 12 APR. 2190[illegible]

From J. Cameron Esq. F.L.S.,
Superintendent,
Mysore Govt. Museum.

To N. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A., M.L.
Secy. to the Govt. of Mysore
Genl. & Rev. Depts.

Dated 11th
Despatched -- } April
Received

General No. 156 } 1901/1902
No.

Enclosure No.

Sir,

In acknowledging the receipt of Govt. Proceedings No. 14450-89 P.W.A. 1003 dated 3rd March 1902 calling for opinion as to whether the leave rules adopted by the Govt. of India may be adopted entirely or with any modification by the State of Mysore, I have the honor to

MGCPB—3-3-01—50 reams.

make the following observation:-

I am of opinion that the rules may be adopted in their entirety. But with reference to clause (IX) of Para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India it would be a further boon if Officers were allowed to draw their privilege leave allowances out of India as in case of furlough allowances.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

J. Cameron
Superintendent

No 1815 SSA 24 of 1901-02 3123
Serial 2221

PSA 1522

FROM

BANGALORE, 15 April 1902

(21)

B. L. RICE, ESQ., C.I.E.,

DIRECTOR OF ARCHÆOLOGICAL RESEARCHES

IN MYSORE.

To

H. V Nanjundaiya Esquire

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,

REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPARTMENTS.

SIR,

With reference to endorsement No 14450-89 / P&A 1003 of the 3rd ultimo, I have the honour to state that in my opinion the adoption of the rules proposed in para 4 of the Government of India Resolution forwarded therewith would be beneficial, and no modifications occur to me as being needed in their application here.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

Rice

Director of Archaeological Researches.

KH

OFFICE OF THE
13341
18 APR. 2 1902

P&A

FROM

THE DIRECTOR OF ARCHÆOLOGICAL
RESEARCHES IN MYSORE.

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE
REVENUE AND GENERAL DEPT.

Dated Bangalore, 15-4-1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1815

No.

Enclosure No.

Communicates his opinion in
re the adoption of Govt of
India Resolution allowing
privilege leave in combination
with other leave.

P&A.
18/4

(Illegible)
(Illegible)

SSA 24 of 1901-02
Serial 21

13263.
15 APR. 2
(General Serial No. 30.)
SECRETARIAT OF INDIA

POA - 1571 32

1901-1902.

From H. A. Cuttaninachetty Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Shemoga District

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esqre
Secy to the Govt of Mysore
Gl & Rev. depts.

Dated 12th
Despatched } April 1902.
Received

General No. 927
No. 777 } 1901.02.

Enclosures Number. —

With reference to Govt
order no 16450-89 dated
BoA 1003
3d march 1902 states
that the rules contained
in para 4 of the resolu
tion may be adopted
in their entirety with-
out any modification

J & A
15.4.02

1901-1902.

(20)

From. K. P. Puttanna Chetty Esquire
deputy Commissioner
Shemoga district

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore
General and Revenue departments

Dated 12th April 1902.

No. 927 Sm
777.

In reply to Government order
no. 1465-0-89 dated 3rd March 1902
calling for opinion regarding the
adoption of Government of India
Resolution permitting the grant
of privilege leave in combination
with any other leave, has the honour
to state that the rules contained
in para 4 of the resolution may
be adopted in their entirety with-
-out any modification.

K P Puttannachetty
deputy Commissioner
Shemoga district

Rec'd 28.4.02

Rec'd 25-4-02 Serial 235 APR. 2 1901-2.

P&A - 1561

(22)

From G. Hume Wright, Esq.,
~~THE~~ SUPERINTENDENT,
GOVT. PRINTING IN MYSORE.

To

~~The~~ The H. V. Nanjundayya, Esq.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl. and Rev. depts.

Dated 22nd April 1902.

Despatched

Received

General No. 1005
No.

Enclosure No.

With reference to Government Proceedings No. 14450-87 P&A 1003 dated 3rd March 1902, calling for opinion as to the introduction of rules regarding the taking of privilege leave to any other kind of leave referred to in para 4 of the Resolution of the Government of India, I have the honor to state that I am greatly in favor of the introduction of the rules, and Government would be conferring a great benefit on its servants. As the rules stand at present many including myself are

are obliged to forfeit accumulated pr
leave now if we wish to take over three
months to go to England, which means
loss of leave earned in three years. In
own case is in point. I went home f
6 months in March 1900, when 3 mo out
privilege leave was due to me, and c
-quently lost it, besides being transfer
during my absence to a different Depa
-ment without my knowledge, I wou
suggest that para IX of the Rules be mo
-fied so as to allow of privilege leav
allowances being paid out of Indi
as it would be a great hardship
entail considerable loss, if we hav
to arrange for allowances being drawn
by a Bank in India and wh all
remitted) at the current rate of ex
-change, whereas the gain to Govern
-ment would be so trifling as no
to be worth consideration.

G Hunnybright
Superintendent,
Govt. Pg: in Myan

P&A 1575 S&A 24 f 1901-02 Serial 24 36

No. 2191
F. No.

MILITARY ASSISTANT'S OFFICE,

DATED BANGALORE, April 1902 190 .

(23)

From

LIEUT. COLONEL R. G. JONES, I. S. C.,

MILITARY ASSISTANT TO THE GOVT. OF MYSORE,

To

H.V.Nanjundayya Esquire,M.A.,M.L.,

Secretary to Govt.,GEN & REV. Departments.

Bangalore.

Sir,

In acknowledging receipt of Government Order No 14450-89/P.A.100% of the 3rd ultimo, I have the honor to inform you that I am not in favour of the adoption of the rule referred to in the Government of India Home Department Resolution No 324/40 of the 3rd July 1901 in its entirety, as it cancels certain privileges enjoyed under the present rules.

The modifications I would propose are that

(a) the period of interval referred to in clause (11) of para 4 of the above resolutions be 10 months.

(b) that privilege leave allowances be made payable out of India and

(c) that the proposed Rule VI be cancelled.

I have the honor to be

Sir,

your most obedient servant

Lt.Col

Military Assistant.

S&A 24 of 1901-02
Serial 16/25
From.

P&A-1579[3]



The Conservator of Forests
(24)
in Mysore.

To,
The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue departts.

Dated 28th April 1902.

No: 3942

Ref:- G.O. No: 14456-89/1003 P.A.
dated 3rd March calling for
opinion in the adoption of Govt
of India Resolution permitting officers
to take privilege leave to the extent
due in combination with any other
leave.

In reply states that the rules
in question will undoubtedly
an improvement upon the existing
rules &c.

P & A
29.4.02
Recd 29/4/02

Office of the Conservator of Forests in Mysore,

No 3942 Dated Camp Devaroydroog 28th April 1902.

From,

M. Muthannah Esquire R. B.
Offg: Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

To, H. V. Nanjundayya Esquire M. A. M. L.,
Secretary to the Government of Mys
General and Revenue Departments

Sir,

With reference to Government Order No $\frac{14460-1}{P\&A\ 1a}$ dated 3rd March last calling for an expression of opinion as to whether the rules referred to in Gov-ment of India Resolution No 224-40 dated 25th January 1901, may be adopted in this Province, have the honour to state that the rules in questi will undoubtedly be an improvement upon the exist-ing rules and obviate the frequent absences of

Officers

Officers from duty on privilege leave and furlough. It seems to me, however, that they are not likely to affect the large body of native Officers who seldom take advantage of the furlough rules, but will be largely availed of by the European officers of the Darbar.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant.

[signature]

Offg: Conservator of Forests in Mysore.

Afn. ———

S&A 24 of 1901-02 Serial 25 14904. PoA-1761

1901/2

FROM The Deputy Commissioner
Thana Kanara District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Bombay
Genl & Rev Departments
Bangalore

Dated 19th May 1902

Despatched 22d

Received

General No. 988
No.

Enclosure No. —

Govt Prop: no 14450-89
PoA 1003
of 3d March 1902

Furnishing opinion in re
ie adoption of Govt of India
resolution permitting Officers
to take priv: leave to the extent
ine in combination with any
other leave

P.A.
23/5/02

No 988

Deputy Commissioner's Off
Tumkur District.

Tumkur 19th/22nd may 1902.

From.

K. S. Doraiswami Iyer Esq
Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

H.V. Nanjundayya Esqre M.A. M.L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
General and Revenue Department,
Bangalore

Sir,

Referring to Government Proceedings No 11,450-89 P.R. 1902, calling for opinion in re the adoption of Government of India Resolution permitting Officers to take privilege leave in combination with any other leave, I have the honor to state that the reason for the modification of the existing leave rules of the Government of India does not appear to me to apply with equal force to our service. The too frequent transfers upon leave vacancies, when the transfers are from District to District to satisfy claims of seniority, would indeed entail in the large British provinces long travels and much loss of time, but that state of affairs does not obtain in a Comparatively small province like ours.

2. With regard to the proposed rules themselves, I beg to state that the combination of privilege leave with the several forms of long leave provided in the Code would certainly be most useful to the European Officers of our service, but

but, the instances in which such long leave would be required or claimed by Hindu Officers would indeed be ~~very~~ rare, with our homes never so far away as the Europeans. But taking the service as a whole, I beg to state that there can be no objection to the adoption of the new rules.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant
[signature]
Offg Deputy Commissioner
Cuj

S&A 26 of 1901-02
Serial 27

PtA-1821 43

1834

15467
7- [illegible] 1902
Edn. No. 81

1901-2-1

From H. J. Bhabha Esq. M.A.
~~The~~ Inspector General of Education
in Mysore.

To Asst Secy
with the Dewan
11/6/1902

To H. V. Nanjundayya Esq. M.A. B.L.,
Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Genl & Rev. Depts.,
Bangalore.

No. 10577
Dated 354
Received 31-5-1902.

No. of Enclosures.

Subject

Submitting the Inspr Genl's opinion in re the adoption of the Resolution of the Govt of India regarding the commutation of privilege leave with any other kind of leave.

PtA
7. 6 [illegible]

Read 7-6-02
Subt The papers are before the Dewan. HVN 7/6/02

(26) SRA 248 of 1901-02
Serial 28

4 4

Edn. 81]

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL OF EDUCATION IN MYSORE,
Bangalore, 190

No. 1057/1
354

Camp Nandidrug 31st May 1902.

From

H. J. BHABHA, ESQ., M A
Inspector General of Education in Mysore,

To

H. V. Nanjundaiya Esq M A. M L.
Secretary to the Government of Mysore
in the Revenue & General Depts.

SIR,

With reference to Government order No 14450-89 P & A 100-3 dated 3rd march 1902 I have the honour to inform you that I approve of all the Rules given in para 4 of the Government of India Resolution regarding the Combination of privilege leave with any other kind of leave except rule IX which I would modify so as to make privilege leave allowances payable out of India when privilege leave is combined with any other leave.

I have the honour to be
Sir.
Your most obedient Servant
H J Bhabha
Inspector General.

S&A 26 of 1901-02 Pt A - 185746
Serial 28 15737.
1902 1873

Subd for orders.
The papers on the subject are pending orders of the Dewan
DMB
27/6/02

Submitted. in continuation for orders.
F.D.
6/7/1902

Please send this as continuation to the Chief Secretary with the Dewan
GL
6/7/02

FROM E. & S. Coast Co.
Defective Marine Screws
Malay States

(27)

To
H.H. Abdul Jamil Bahaya Esq
Secretary Government
Johore

Dated 13th June 02
Despatched —
Received
General No. C - 2526
No.
Enclosure No.

Giving opinion re-grant of privilege boats to officers in combination with any other boats —

DMB
16-6-02
Recd 14-6-02

No. C. 2526

Kolar

Camp: Champion Reefs
Dated 12th May 1902.

From,

J. E. C. Carew Esqre
Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District

To,

A. Mackenzie Esqre
Secretary to the Government
Inspector, Revenue & Genl. &c
Bangalore.

Sir,

With reference to Government
Proceedings No. III 50-89
dated 3rd March 1902
calling for opinions in re the exception of
Government of India Notification permitting
Officers to take privilege leave to the extent
of one, in combination with any other leave,
I have the honor to state that I am of
opinion that the Rules should be in
force till Government of India Notification
may be adopted in this State with
retrospective effect.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient humble
Servant,

J. Carew

Deputy Commissioner,
Kolar District

SXA 24 of 1901-02 4.

Read:— Serial 29 (30)

Government Proceedings

No. 14450-89 / PSA 1008 d: 3rd March 1902 communicating to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore and other Heads of Departments, — Resolution No. 224-40 dated 25th January 1901 of the Govt of India Home Depts, for favour of opinion as to whether the rules referred to in para 4 therein regarding the taking of privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, may be adopted entirely, or with any modifications and if the latter with what modifications,

9.7.24 of 01

The following replies received on the Subject:- 2.

(1) Letter No. 301 d: 20th March 1902 from the Inspector of Agricultural Banks in Mysore

(2) Letter No. 326 d: 20th March 1902 from the I. G. of Prisons in Mysore

The replies received thereon,

(3)

(3) Letter No. 65 d: 20th March 1902 from the Palace Controller Mysore

(4) Letter No. 666 d: 21st March 1902 from the Superintendent Mysore Revenue Survey.

(5) Docket No. 579 d: 21st March 1902 from the Agricultural chemist to the Govt of Mysore

(6) Letter No. 6813/199 d: 21st March 1902 from the Registrar of the Chief Courts of Mysore

(7) Letter No. 588 d: 22nd March 1902 from the Inspector General of Police in Mysore

(8) Docket No. 79 d: 22nd March 1902 from the Superintendent of Indian Settlements in Mysore

(9) Letter No. 1768 d: 22nd March 1902 from the Chief Engineer &c in Mysore

(10) Letter No. 958 d: 26th March 1902 from the Inspector Gl of Registration in Mysore

(11) Letter No. 300 d: 2nd March 1902 from the Supt. Govt. Gardens.

(12) Letter No. 259 d: 26th March 1902 from the State Geologist in Mysore

(13) Letter No. 4775 d: 27th March 1902 from the Senior Surgeon and Sanitary commr. with the Govt. of Mysore

(14) Letter No. 423 d: 2nd April 1902 from the Principal Central College Bangalore

(15) Letter No. 156 d: 11th April 1902 from the Supt. Mysore Govt. Museum.

(16) Letter No. 1815 d: 15th April 1902 from the Director of Archaeological Researches in Mysore

(17) Letter No. D 1075 d: 21st March 1902 from the Dy. commr. Bangalore Dt.

(18) Letter No. 793 d: 22nd March 1902 from the Dy commr. Chitaldrug Dt.

(19) Letter No. 678 d: 26th March 1902 from the Dy commr. Kadur Dt.

(20) Docket No. 927/777 d: 12th April 1902 from the

The Dy. Commr Shillong Dt.

Order thereon No. 1983-2022 30-7-02

Plan 154
D.H.A

The Government are pleased to direct that the following rules ~~referred to in para 4 of the Govt of India Resolution above referred to,~~ concerning the grant of leave be adopted. ~~in their entirety~~:—

(i) privilege leave to the amount due will be allowed to be taken as privilege leave either (a) by itself or (b) combined as privilege leave with furlough, leave on medical certificate, special leave, leave on urgent private affairs and extraordinary leave, the maximum period in each case, for which full salary can be drawn, being three months;

(ii) when privilege leave of over six weeks in duration is taken by itself, an interval of ~~12~~ 18 months must elapse between the return to duty and departure on furlough other than furlough on medical certificate.

× × Corrected by Dy Commr to 18 months

(iii) the restriction defined in (ii) will not apply to leave on medical certificate, furlough on medical certificate, special leave on urgent private affairs, leave on private affairs or extraordinary leave.

(iv) when privilege ~~leave~~ leave is combined with furlough the amount of the combined leave must not exceed two years.

(v). when privilege leave is combined with special leave on urgent private affairs or with leave on private affairs, the amount of the combined leave must not exceed six months.

(vi) when privilege leave is combined with any other kind of leave, combined leave must be for not less than six months.

(vii) the periods mentioned in (iv), (v) and (vi) will count from the beginning of the privilege leave to the end (under the ordinary rules) of the leave.

(Proposed by the Military Dept. (Retain)

leave with which it is combined.

(VIII) officers to whom privilege leave under the ordinary rules and conditions is inadmissible under ~~art 306 of the Bengal~~ Part 6 Article 203 of the Upper Service Regulations ~~Service Regulations~~ will be allowed to combine vacations with long leave (either at the beginning or end thereof) on the same conditions as those on which other officers are allowed to combine privilege leave.

(IX) the rule that privilege leave allows are not payable out of India will not apply in the case of privilege leave combined with other leave.

This may be omitted as we have no corresponding rule in our Code.

2. The comptroller is requested accordingly to submit for the approval of Government a draft addendum and corrigendum to

to the Ryepone Service Regulations
~~amending~~ for publication
in the Gazette.

Draft

DB 29/7/1902
DB Secretary

DB
26/4/02 28/4/1902

To. The Comptroller to the Govt of Nyasa
" other Heads of depts
" Ly Commrs of Dists

607
Circd
17.VII.02

Issue
Ryepone
29/7

Revd copy made
KB
29/7

READ—

(1) Government Proceedings No. 14450-89-P. & A. 1003, dated 3rd March 1902, communicating to the Comptroller to the Government of Mysore and other Heads of Departments, Resolution No. 224-40, dated 25th January 1901, of the Government of India, Home Department, for favor of opinion as to whether the rules referred to in para 4 therein, regarding the taking of privilege leave to the extent due in combination with any other leave, may be adopted entirely or with any modifications and if the latter, with what modifications.

(2) The replies received thereon.

No. 1983-2022—P. & A. 154, DATED BANGALORE, 30TH JULY 1902.

ORDER THEREON.—The Government are pleased to direct that the following rules concerning the grant of leave be adopted :—

(i) Privilege leave to the amount due will be allowed to be taken as privilege leave either (*a*) by itself or (*b*) combined as privilege leave with furlough leave on medical certificate, special leave, leave on urgent private affairs and extraordinary leave, the maximum period in each case, for which full salary can be drawn, being three months;

(ii) when privilege leave of over six weeks in duration is taken by itself, an interval of 18 months must elapse between the return to duty and departure on furlough other than furlough on medical certificate;

(iii) the restriction defined in (ii) will not apply to leave on medical certificate, furlough on medical certificate, special leave on urgent private affairs, leave on private affairs or extraordinary leave;

(iv) when privilege leave is combined with furlough, the amount of the combined leave must not exceed two years;

(v) when privilege leave is combined with special leave on urgent private affairs, or with leave on private affairs, the amount of the combined leave must not exceed six months;

(vi) when privilege leave is combined with any other kind of leave, combined leave must be for not less than six months;

(vii) the periods mentioned in (iv), (v) and (vi) will count from the beginning of the privilege leave to the end (under the ordinary rules) of the leave with which it is combined;

(viii) officers to whom privilege leave under the ordinary rules and conditions is inadmissible under Article 203 of the Mysore Service Regulations, will be allowed to combine vacations with long leave (either at the beginning or end thereof) on the same conditions as those on which other officers are allowed to combine privilege leave.

2. The Comptroller is accordingly requested to submit, for the approval of Government, a draft addendum and corrigendum to the Mysore Service Regulations for publication in the *Gazette*.

H. V. NANJUNDAYYA,
Secy. to Govt., Gen & Rev. Depts.

To—The Comptroller to the Government of Mysore.
The other Heads of Departments.
The Deputy Commissioners of Districts.

Exd.—C.R.

1902-03

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

GENERAL

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 30th July 1902

No. 1933-2022
P. & A. 154

Directing the adoption of certain rules regarding the grant of privilege leave.

SBP—3-10-08—150